

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,960	01/28/2004	Toshio Chiba	22040-00027-US	1959	
	7590 09/14/2007 BOVE LODGE & HUT2		EXAMINER		
1875 EYE STREET, N.W.			RAJAN, KAI		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
***************************************	11, 20 2000		3736		
·					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	\mathcal{H}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/707,960	CHIBA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kai Rajan	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS (a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 A</u>					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This		acception on to the morite is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i>					
	-x parto quayro, roco c.b. rr, r				
Disposition of Claims			•		
4) Claim(s) <u>5, 7, 9, & 11 - 13</u> is/are pending in the		•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	-				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	otion and/or alaption requirement				
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5, 7, 9, & 11 - 13</u> are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document					
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed			
Jee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the continue copies not receive	~ ~ . ,			
Attachment(s)	4)	(DTO 412)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Linterview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D	•			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/707,960

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges the amendment filed August 15, 2007.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Group A: Types of tag antennae

Species 1: Planar loop antenna (paragraphs 0031, 0042)

Species 2: Low - frequency coil antenna (paragraphs 0031, 0042)

The species are independent or distinct because they are drawn to different embodiments of the invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

Application/Control Number: 10/707,960

Art Unit: 3736

r.

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Conclusion

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kai Rajan whose telephone number is 571-272-3077. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00AM to 4:00PM.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/707,960

Art Unit: 3736

Page 4

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KR September 6, 2007 Michael Astorino